



Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

Emerging Issues in ER-PD Development: Proposed Process to Address Issues

Thirteenth meeting of the Carbon Fund (CF13)

Brussels, Belgium

October 13-16, 2015

Questions arising during ER-PD Development

During the development of ER-PDs, there can be questions related to interpretation of the MF

- Criteria and indicators that were purposefully phrased to allow for interpretation, for example criteria 11 on reference period or criteria 13 on acceptable justification for adjustments
- Issues on which the MF is unclear or silent, for example on the issue of 'Crediting period' of the ER-Program for countries that are actively seeking recognition for 'early actions'

Questions arising during ER-PD Development

As countries are working on their ER-PD the following issues are coming up in different ways:

1. Questions from REDD Countries on specific issues identified while developing their ER-PD
2. Common issues identified by the FMT or CFPs in their interactions with REDD Countries while developing their ER-PD
3. Questions from TAP members as they are assessing the Advanced Draft ER-PDs

How can CFPs provide guidance to allow countries to produce high quality ER-PDs?

Historic approaches to address MF questions

- TAP orientation workshops (with REDD countries and Observers)
 - A first such workshop was held in early September 2015 and helped clarify many questions related to the application and interpretation of the Methodological Framework
 - TAP experts, REDD countries, CFPs, Observers, FMT benefitted from the discussion
 - But: there continue to be questions where CFPs have different views and make different considerations; this has implications for countries and the ER-PD review and decision-making process overall.
- Discuss emerging question at Carbon Fund meetings
 - First such session at CF12
 - Challenge: questions can be very technical, specific, time-consuming and beyond CFPs immediate technical expertise

FMT proposal going forward

Type of issue	Proposed approach	Participants
Country specific issues identified by REDD Countries during ER-PD development	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Similar sessions as CF12 but with a consistent way of capturing them outside Chair's summaryFMT facilitates calls on specific technical topics in-between Carbon Fund meetings as questions and bottlenecks arise	<ul style="list-style-type: none">CFPs and ObserversCFP technical experts and Observers

FMT proposal going forward

Type of issue	Proposed approach	Participants
Common issues identified by the FMT or CFPs in their interactions with REDD Countries while developing their ER-PD	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• FMT facilitates calls on specific technical topics in-between Carbon Fund meetings as questions and bottlenecks arise• CFPs, if applicable, provide consolidated feedback to FMT on draft ER-PDs based on TAP review and their virtual review• Similar sessions as CF12 but with a consistent way of capturing them outside Chair's summary	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Core TAP experts, CFP technical experts and Observers• CFPs provide feedback and FMT provides for consistent way of capturing comments• CFPs and Observers

FMT proposal going forward

Type of issue	Proposed approach	Participants
Questions from TAP members as they are assessing the Advanced Draft ER-PDs	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• FMT facilitates calls on specific technical topics in-between Carbon Fund meetings as questions and bottlenecks arise• FMT facilitates additional TAP orientation workshops	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Core TAP experts, CFP technical experts and Observers• New TAP members, CFPs, Observers



THANK YOU!

www.forestcarbonpartnership.org